

**Problems for Lecture 1****(1) Why approximate gauge invariance for massive vectors?**

We all know that gauge “symmetry” is nothing but a useful redundancy of description that helps us describe the two transverse helicities of spin 1 particles in a manifestly Lorentz invariant and local way. Nonetheless, the (non-gauge invariant) Lagrangian for a massive spin 1 particle is written as  $-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 + m^2 A_\mu A^\mu$ . Why must the first term be gauge invariant? What would go wrong if we also added a term proportional to  $(\partial A)^2$ ? Similarly in the non-Abelian theory, why must the  $AA\partial A$  and  $A^4$  terms have the form dictated by gauge invariance? The goldstone formalism we discussed gives simple answers to all these questions; furthermore, for the non-Abelian theory, it dictates that all these gauge-violating effects are indeed present but with suitably small coefficients. Estimate the size of these effects. Identify how they are generated in the Higgs model with a heavy Higgs.

**(2) Limits on Simple Models of New Physics**

Estimate the constraints placed on the parameter space of the following simple models from existing experiments. Identify the leading constraint.

(A) An electroweak triplet field  $\phi$  with mass  $m_\phi$  and a cubic coupling to the Higgs  $\mu h^\dagger \phi h$ .

(B) Vector-like “partners” of the top and bottom quarks—Weyl fermions  $(Q, U^c, D^c)$  and  $(Q^c, U, D)$ , with mass terms  $M_Q Q Q^c + M_U U U^c + M_D D D^c$ , and Yukawa couplings to the Higgs,  $\lambda_U Q h^* U^c + \lambda'_U Q^c h U + \lambda_D Q h D^c + \lambda'_D Q^c h^* D$ .

(C) A  $U(1)_{B-L}$  gauge boson of mass  $M$  and coupling  $g$ .

**(3) Varying the weak scale**

This is a bit of an open-ended question, but there is lots of great physics in it, so have fun! Consider the Standard Model, but imagine that we vary the Higgs mass parameter  $m_H^2$  holding all other couplings fixed. What does the long-distance world look like as  $m_h^2$  is made more negative? What happens to the spectrum of the familiar elementary particles? How about of Nuclei and Atoms? Now, imagine that  $m_h^2$  is positive. Is there a change of phase? Again, what does the spectrum look like?